

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/686,891 10/15/2003 Amir J. Tehrani 4014.10-1 7544 23308 7590 04/05/2006 EXAMINER PETERS VERNY JONES & SCHMITT, L.L.P. 425 SHERMAN AVENUE SUITE 230 PALO ALTO, CA 94306 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3762	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
PETERS VERNY JONES & SCHMITT, L.L.P. 425 SHERMAN AVENUE SUITE 230 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/686,891	10/15/2003	Amir J. Tehrani	4014.10-1 7544	
425 SHERMAN AVENUE SUITE 230 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	23308	7590 04/05/2006		EXAM	INER
SUITE 230 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			T, L.L.P.	ALTER, A	LYSSA M
SOITE 250		N AVENUE		APTIMIT	DADER NUMBER
		CA 04306			- THER NOMBER
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/686,891	TEHRANI, AMIR J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>5,6,53-58,71-74 and 94-122</u> is/are per					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122</u> is/are r	ejected.				
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of

1) 🛛	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date .

r 1
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	Ш	Oth	er:	_

Application/Control Number: 10/686,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed December 19, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Testerman et al. (US 5,522,862) in view of Christopherson et al. (US 5,895,360). Furthermore, the Applicant has not argued the double patenting rejections of the pending claims and as a result the double patenting rejection still stands.

The Applicant has argued that Testerman et al. does not disclose diaphragm stimulation, which has been acknowledged. However, Christopherson et al. (US 5,895,360) does disclose that stimulation of upper airway performs the same function as stimulation to the diaphragm.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable

Application/Control Number: 10/686,891 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

over claims 51-53 of copending Application No. 10/966484 (US Patent Publication 20050085869 A1) for reasons previously made of record.

- 2. Claims 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of copending Application No. 10/966472 (US Patent Publication 20050085867 A1) for reasons previously made of record
- 3. Claims 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 and 26-38 of copending Application No. 10/966421 (US Patent Publication 20050085866 A1) for reasons previously made of record.
- 4. Claims 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 27-33 of copending Application No. 10/966487 (US Patent Publication 20050085734 A1) for reasons previously made of record.
- 5. Claims 5-6, 53-58, 71-74 and 94-122 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 9-11, 15-27, 30-33 and 35-42 of copending Application No. 10/966474 (US Patent Publication 20050085868 A1) for reasons previously made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3762

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 5-6, 53-55, 57-58, 71-74, 94-96 and 98-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Testerman et al. (US 5,522,862) in view of Christopherson et al. (US 5,895,360). Testerman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the stimulation of the diaphragm. Christopherson et al. teaches that it is known to "Preferably, the muscle stimulated is an upper airway muscle, such as the genioglossus muscle stimulated by a cuff electrode placed around the hypoglossal nerve. However, there may be other upper airway muscles or nerves which can be used for stimulation to perform the same function and also other nerves or muscles apart from the upper airway which may be stimulated, such as the diaphragm, to treat respiratory disorders, such as, for example, sleep apnea" as set forth in column 6, lines 30-37. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the upper airway stimulation as taught by Testerman et al. with the diaphragm stimulation as taught by Christopherson et al., since such a modification to the stimulation performs the same function and it is known to modify respiratory treatment to meet specific patient needs.

Testerman et al. discloses a typical respiratory effort waveform for two complete respiratory cycles in figure 2a. "Each wave of the waveform is characterized by a negative peak 30 on completion of expiration, a positive peak 35 on completion of inspiration and a turning point 40 which indicates the onset of inspiration. Each wave of

Art Unit: 3762

the waveform can therefore be separated into a period of respiratory pause 32, an inspiratory phase 33 and an expiratory phase 34" (col. 5, lines 25-31). Therefore, sensing the respiratory waveform obviously corresponds to the patient's inspiration rate and the exhalation rate.

Testerman et al. further discloses "a method for treating sleep apnea by bursts of electrical stimulation in response to sensed inspiration which includes detecting an arousal event and thereafter maintaining stimulation intensity in response to sensed inspiration below that which is perceptible to the patient. This level of stimulation is maintained for a predetermined period of time after detection of the arousal event in order to allow the patient to return to sleep without perceptible upper airway stimulation" (col. 2, lines 48-57).

As to claims 5-6, 57-58, 71-74, 98-105, 115 and 120, "a block diagram of the principal elements of a device which can accomplish this is shown in FIG. 5. That device includes a transmitter/controller 55, which is capable of sensing the inspiratory phase and transmitting an electrical stimulus pulse to muscles of the upper airway. The transmitter/controller 55 could be either an implantable or an external device but the following description will relate primarily to a battery powered external device. A respiratory transducer 60 such as a conventional belt transducer sends respiratory waveform information to the transmitter/controller 55 which sends stimulus pulses through an antenna/electrode system 65 to stimulate the muscles of the patient" (col. 6, lines 3-15). By sensing the stimulation waveform and then stimulating the respiratory

Page 6

muscles accordingly, Testerman et al. modifies the respiratory waveform and thus the inspiration rate and the exhalation rate.

As to claims 53-55 and 94-96, "the microprocessor 75 identifies the inspiration phase of the waveform so that the system can supply a shaped stimulus burst for the duration of that phase at the antenna output 100. The microprocessor 75 is coupled to a dual digital/analog converter 105, which is also coupled at its output to analog circuitry, which acts as a stimulus shaper 110. These elements work in combination to provide a shaped "stimulus window" which controls when stimulation will be provided and how much stimulation will be provided at the antenna output 100. The RF coupled stimulus burst is provided within this window. The microprocessor 75 sets the digital values for the digital/analog converter 105. The dual digital/analog converter 105 is connected in a cascaded arrangement with a first digital/analog section setting the amplitude of the stimulus pulse (i.e. from 0 to 8 volts in 256 increments of 32 millivolts) and the second digital/analog section setting the shape of the stimulus burst (i.e. the shape and duration of the stimulus during a rise time interval and a fall time interval as functions having 0-100% of full amplitude with eight bit resolution (1/256) for each 31 millisecond interval of output-typically, a linear ramping function of 250 millisecond for rise time and a linear ramping function of 125 millisecond for fall time is the default setting, or, to turn the stimulus on more quickly, a nonlinear ramping function, such as a sine function, could be used)"(col. 6, lines 13-28).

As to claims 108-111 and 116, "T1-T4 are monitored by the microprocessor 75. T1 is a measure of inspiratory rise time and is a sub-component of the active phase of inspiration. It represents the inspiratory rise time to a nominal 75% of peak value. T2 is the active inspiratory phase time. T3 is the active inspiratory/expiratory phase time. T4 is the length of a single respiratory cycle. In order to monitor these values, the microprocessor 75 needs to find the inspiratory turn point 242, the inspiratory peak PK1, the negative expiratory peak PK2, and the next inspiratory turn point 242a. In general, these points are found by various slope and/or amplitude criterion. Also monitored by the microprocessor are the PK1 and Pk2 amplitude values for each phase. Average values of these variables may be computed and stored in the memory of the apnea treatment device in order to allow any method used to analyze the respiratory waveform or any method used to detect the onset of an apnea to adapt to normal variations in the waveform that may occur during sleep"(col. 9-10, lines 57-67 and 1-7). The examiner further considers the inspiration time to be the same as the inspiration duration.

As to claim 109 and 117, "FIG. 4a shows that in a normal respiratory effort waveform 43, the inspiratory peaks 45 a-d are of approximately the same amplitude. By comparison in FIG. 4b, in a waveform 47 the inspiratory peaks 50 a-d become significantly greater in amplitude at the onset of obstructive apnea than the immediately preceding inspiratory peak 52. This is reflective of the increased inspiratory effort undertaken by the patient in response to the difficulty of breathing through the obstructed airway" (col. 5, lines 48-56). Since Testerman et al. treats apnea, the increase inspiratory effort or increase in inspiratory peak amplitude as a result of apnea is reduced. Thus, Testerman et al. modifies the inspiration amplitude.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,891 Page 8

Art Unit: 3762

As to claims 113-114, "during a first period indicated as 53a, stimulation is enabled producing a normal respiratory airflow" (col. 5, lines 64-66). Therefore, Testerman et al. treats apnea by returning the patient's respiration to normal respiratory and thus, to a predetermined respiratory waveform.

As to claims 106-107, 118-119 and 121-122, modification of the inhalation and exhalation rate will inherently affect the levels of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the patient's blood, since respiration directly affects blood gas equilibrium.

2. Claims 56 and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over the modified Testerman et al. (US 5,522,862), as applied to claims 5-6, 53-55, 57-58, 71-74, 94-96 and 98-122 above, or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the modified Testerman et al. in view of Geddes et al. (US 4,827,935). Testerman et al. discloses the adjustment of several parameters, such as frequency, pulse duration, and therefore it is capable of being adjusted to control tidal volume.

In the alternative, Testerman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the tidal volume. Geddes et al. teaches that it is known to modify tidal volume as set forth in column 7, lines 28-32, with increased stimulation to increase tidal volume. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the stimulation treatment as taught by Testerman et al. with the stimulation to modify the tidal volume as taught by Geddes et al., in order to increase the volume of air inspired to assist in the respiration of the patient.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,891

Art Unit: 3762

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ulyssa M Alter Examiner

Art Unit 3762

JEFFREY R. ASTRZAB